

- This presentation is one in a series of Port Tutorials, intended to help users better understand the nuances of model excitation. With incorrect inputs, the entire 3D field solution will be incorrect. Therefore, proper attention to port definitions can make the difference between a successful and unsuccessful HFSS analysis.
- In this tutorial presentation, the user will be presented with guidelines for setting up ports on both grounded and ungrounded coplanar waveguide (CPW) transmission line structures. Recommendations for both wave and *lumped* ports will be outlined. General advice will be provided for initial port sizing, followed by diagnostic procedures to use to evaluate whether or not a user's ports are appropriate for their specific configuration. Pictorial examples will be provided of grounded, ungrounded, and limited-side-ground CPW port mechanizations throughout the tutorial.





Coplanar Waveguide: Basic Review

SOFT HFSS v8 Training

Structure

- Coplanar Waveguide is a transmission line system consisting of a central current-carrying trace atop a substrate, coplanar with side grounds extending beyond a symmetric gap to either side of the trace. There are different kinds of CPW transmission lines used in RF and microwave applications
 - Grounded CPW (GCPW) will have an additional ground plane on the underside of the substrate. In practice this plane needs to be sufficiently distant from the trace as compared with the side grounds that the system carries a CPW mode rather than a lossy microstrip mode
 - Ungrounded CPW is more standard, in which the side grounds coplanar to the strip itself provide the only return current path, and the underside of the substrate is unclad.
 - Finite Ground CPW (FG-CPW) traditionally refers to an ungrounded CPW in which the side ground metalization is of limited width, often not more than 2 – 3 times the width of the center trace itself, due to space considerations.

Advantages

- CPW has the same advantage as microstrip, in that the signal is carried on an exposed surface trace, on which surface-mount components can be attached. Being a surface signal carrier it also lends itself well to testing via ground-signal-ground type probes
- Unlike microstrip, CPW (at least in the ungrounded form) has little parasitic losses between surface mounted components and an underlying ground plane

Disadvantages

- The primary disadvantage of CPW is that it is harder to design with: features such as open and shorted stubs are not as simple as they are with microstrip or stripline. Additionally, CPW is not well supported even by many modern transmission line calculators and circuit simulators
- While obtaining the necessary dimensions for a CPW of a desired characteristic impedance is possible, often the dimensions output by transmission line calculators are impracticable given etching constraints
- If the 'aspect ratio' of a CPW (the ratio of its gap to trace widths) becomes too high or too low, the desired CPW mode can be supplanted by parasitic microstrip modes or parallel slotline modes, resulting in poor performance



Coplanar Waveguide: Dimensioning

OFT HFSS v8 Training

- CPW Dimensions
 - + CPW is generally defined by center strip width **w**, gap width **g**, substrate height **h**, and substrate dielectric material
 - Metal thickness is also important, especially when metal thickness t = 0.1 w or t = 0.1 g
 - For FG-CPW, the width of the side grounds, **S**, must also be considered in port design





Purpose

- A **Port** is a 2D surface on which the fields will be solved according to Maxwell's Equations to determine appropriate RF modal excitations into the 3D model volume. Think of a port as an "aperture" in which the field distribution and orientation is known for the steady-state finite element solution
- Wave ports solve actual field distributions in transmission line cross-sections. Lumped ports excite simplified field distributions to permit S-parameter outputs where wave ports are not feasible.

Characteristics

- Port surface area takes on the material characteristics of the materials which touch its face
- Wave Port boundaries take on the boundary characteristics of the boundaries which share its edges
 - Edges touching *perfect_e* faces, such as ground planes, become *perfect_e* edges for the port computation
 - Edges touching perfect_h faces become perfect_h edges for the port computation
 - Edges touching symmetry faces take on the definition of the appropriate perfect_e or perfect_h type
 - Edges touching *radiation* faces, however, default to *perfect_e* conductive boundary conditions!
 - The environment variable ZERO_ORDER_ABC_ON_PORT = 1 can set them to 377 ohms instead
- Due to the port bounding edges, which may not match boundaries on field behavior in the full 3D volume around the transmission line past the port plane, proper port sizing and location is crucial



A microstrip port at left has sufficient surface area for fringing field behavior, while the one at right forces field attachment to the port side walls, even if the surrounding area was designated as a *radiation* boundary





- Wave Port Size
 - The standard recommendation for most CPW wave ports is a rectangular aperture
 - Port *width* should be no less than 3 x the overall CPW width, or 3 x (2g + w)
 - Port *height* should be no less than 4 x the dielectric height, or 4h
 - If no convenient 'solid face(s)' meet the above sizing requirements, draw a 2D rectangle for the Port.

Wave Port Location

- The wave port should be centered horizontally on the CPW trace
- If the port is on GCPW, the port bottom edge should lie on the substrate bottom ground plane
- If the port is on ungrounded CPW, the port height should be roughly centered on the CPW metal layer

Wave Port Restrictions

- As with all wave ports, there must be only **one** surface normal exposed to the field volume
 - + Port should be on exterior model face, or *capped* by a perfect conductor block if internal
- The wave port outline *must* contact the side grounds (all CPWs) and bottom ground (GCPW)
- The wave port size should *not* exceed lambda/2 in any dimension, to avoid permitting a rectangular waveguide modal excitation







- Impedance Lines
 - Optional for wave ports, but if Zpv and Zvi desired, should be drawn
 - Extend from either side ground to proximal point on trace, colinear with top edge of substrate
 - + Port width should be no less than 3 x the overall CPW width, or $3 \times (2g + w)$
 - Port *height* should be no less than 4 x the dielectric height, or 4*h*

Calibration Lines

- Optional for wave ports, used only if phase referencing between multiple ports (for transmission phase parameters) are important
- The calibration line can be identical to the Impedance line.
- GCPW
 - For grounded CPW, the Impedance and Calibration lines usually still extend from side ground to trace. However at the user's discretion it may be desired to run the lines from the underlying ground to the trace instead.
 - Usually this line orientation would only be desirable if the dielectric thickness is on the same order as the gap width of the CPW geometry. Use the port field distribution as an indication of which ground location is more important to your particular mode shape





Modal Field Distribution

- CPW E Field distributions are shown in the images below
 - Basic vector fields can be displayed in Setup Executive Parameter/Port Impedances, by highlighting a port and using the Port Fields button beneath the graphical window to adjust the vectors
 - CPW fields should be symmetric to either side of the center trace, with E fields extending from each ground to the trace (or vice versa) in phase. H fields circulate around the center trace
 - In GCPW, field lines may also extend from the bottom ground to the center trace
 - Fields should *not* extend strongly to the port periphery, except for the bottom ground if appropriate

Characteristic Impedance

- CPW modes are *quasi-TEM*, so the three available definitions of characteristic impedance **Zpi**, **Zpv**, **and Zvi** should be nearly the same
- CPW characteristic impedance should remain relatively flat with respect to frequency



7



CPW Wave Ports: Diagnosing Problems from Fields, part 1

SOFT HFSS v8 Training

Problem 1: Port Fields don't match CPW distribution, but extend through port window

- May extend through substrate, or through air above metal surfaces [bottom, left]
- Caused by too wide a port, resulting in TE01 waveguide type mode distribution (the small 'gaps' in the metal outline aren't enough to prevent this mode from existing, if given enough area)
- **Solution:** Reduce width of port until it is below lambda/2. For GCPW, may need width below lambda/2 in *substrate*

Problem 2: Port Fields extend from trace to side or top edges of port window

- Caused by too narrow or squat a port [bottom, right]
- **Solution:** Increase port width or height, appropriately, to reduce field attachment

Problem 3: Port Fields are Asymmetric (very rare)

- One gap appears excited, while the other is ignored: single "slotline" excitation
- Usually related to high aspect ratio CPW, narrow conductor gaps, low frequencies with respect to physical size, and/or finite metals solved "inside"
- Solution: Try using 'virtual objects' to assist port meshing in both gaps. Or, if entire 3D model is symmetrical, solve ¹/₂ of problem space using a *perfect_h* symmetry condition
- (Note: This problem should not frequently occur in HFSS 8.0.25 or newer)







Why must port outline touch side grounds?

- If port outline does not contact side grounds, the port 'window' sees three possible signal traces inside the 'ground reference' of the port perimeter [below, left]
- Mode solved will be 'even' or first mode of three possible TEM modes in this system, not that of CPW excitation
- What if I have an FG-CPW and I can't make the port window touch the grounds without making it too narrow?
 - Consider making a port window with inward-pointing 'fingers' that contact the side grounds [black outline, below right]
 - Although this appears to be creating a 'mismatch' to the 3D geometry (by artificially extending the side grounds at the port plane only) remember it is only necessary to remove the vertical *perfect_e* port edges from proximity to the CPW trace, permitting field lines to connect from the trace to the side grounds without perturbation. Therefore the mode generated should not be mismatched to the 3D geometry interior to the port face (inset, bottom right).





CPW Wave Ports: Diagnosing Problems from Impedance

SOFT HFSS v8 Training

- Problem 1: Impedance too low, but stable with respect to frequency
 - Suspect port outline not contacting side grounds, permitting three floating conductors in "even" mode (see last page)
 - Solution: Assure port outline contacts side grounds
 - Suspect port too narrow or too short vertically, permitting port edges to act as grounds that should not exist. Or perhaps port bottom was placed colinear with bottom of substrate, when grounded CPW was not intended
 - **Solution:** Correct port size and/or placement to move unwanted grounding edges further from CPW trace.

Problem 2: Zpi, Zpv, Zvi give very different results, with strong frequency dependence

- Suspect solution of a rectangular waveguide-like mode in substrate or air, due to a too-large port
- **Solution:** Reduce port width to below lambda/2 in appropriate material.



10



Lumped Ports can be used for Internal CPW excitations

- Also referred to as **Gap Source Ports** since they excite uniform 'gap-like' E fields, yet still permit S-parameter output
 - Lumped port boundaries are conductive where touching conductors, or perfect_h boundaries where not
- Will not provide impedance or propagation results; instead S-parameters referenced to user-provided impedance
- Simulate the measurements gathered by Ground-Signal-Ground probes very well (e.g. in on-Silicon component analysis)
- Useful for CPW on very thick substrates relative to trace and gap widths (e.g. on-Silicon again)

Sizing

- Connect side grounds together just beyond the 'end' of the center trace
- Assign port to a rectangle which connects the center trace to the joined ground, in line with the center trace
 - Width of port should be no larger than the CPW trace itself
 - Length of port should be roughly equal to width (too long ignores inductive component, too squat ignores capacitive-like component)
 - + Port should lie coplanar to top of substrate, even if 3D metal objects are in use
- * Required impedance and calibration lines should extend from ground to trace consistently for all ports



射频和天线设计培训课程推荐

易迪拓培训(www.edatop.com)由数名来自于研发第一线的资深工程师发起成立,致力并专注于微 波、射频、天线设计研发人才的培养;我们于 2006 年整合合并微波 EDA 网(www.mweda.com),现 已发展成为国内最大的微波射频和天线设计人才培养基地,成功推出多套微波射频以及天线设计经典 培训课程和 ADS、HFSS 等专业软件使用培训课程,广受客户好评;并先后与人民邮电出版社、电子 工业出版社合作出版了多本专业图书,帮助数万名工程师提升了专业技术能力。客户遍布中兴通讯、 研通高频、埃威航电、国人通信等多家国内知名公司,以及台湾工业技术研究院、永业科技、全一电 子等多家台湾地区企业。

易迪拓培训课程列表: http://www.edatop.com/peixun/rfe/129.html



射频工程师养成培训课程套装

该套装精选了射频专业基础培训课程、射频仿真设计培训课程和射频电 路测量培训课程三个类别共 30 门视频培训课程和 3 本图书教材; 旨在 引领学员全面学习一个射频工程师需要熟悉、理解和掌握的专业知识和 研发设计能力。通过套装的学习,能够让学员完全达到和胜任一个合格 的射频工程师的要求…

课程网址: http://www.edatop.com/peixun/rfe/110.html

ADS 学习培训课程套装

该套装是迄今国内最全面、最权威的 ADS 培训教程,共包含 10 门 ADS 学习培训课程。课程是由具有多年 ADS 使用经验的微波射频与通信系 统设计领域资深专家讲解,并多结合设计实例,由浅入深、详细而又 全面地讲解了 ADS 在微波射频电路设计、通信系统设计和电磁仿真设 计方面的内容。能让您在最短的时间内学会使用 ADS,迅速提升个人技 术能力,把 ADS 真正应用到实际研发工作中去,成为 ADS 设计专家...



课程网址: http://www.edatop.com/peixun/ads/13.html



HFSS 学习培训课程套装

该套课程套装包含了本站全部 HFSS 培训课程,是迄今国内最全面、最 专业的 HFSS 培训教程套装,可以帮助您从零开始,全面深入学习 HFSS 的各项功能和在多个方面的工程应用。购买套装,更可超值赠送 3 个月 免费学习答疑,随时解答您学习过程中遇到的棘手问题,让您的 HFSS 学习更加轻松顺畅…

课程网址: http://www.edatop.com/peixun/hfss/11.html

CST 学习培训课程套装

该培训套装由易迪拓培训联合微波 EDA 网共同推出,是最全面、系统、 专业的 CST 微波工作室培训课程套装,所有课程都由经验丰富的专家授 课,视频教学,可以帮助您从零开始,全面系统地学习 CST 微波工作的 各项功能及其在微波射频、天线设计等领域的设计应用。且购买该套装, 还可超值赠送 3 个月免费学习答疑…



课程网址: http://www.edatop.com/peixun/cst/24.html



HFSS 天线设计培训课程套装

套装包含 6 门视频课程和 1 本图书,课程从基础讲起,内容由浅入深, 理论介绍和实际操作讲解相结合,全面系统的讲解了 HFSS 天线设计的 全过程。是国内最全面、最专业的 HFSS 天线设计课程,可以帮助您快 速学习掌握如何使用 HFSS 设计天线,让天线设计不再难…

课程网址: http://www.edatop.com/peixun/hfss/122.html

13.56MHz NFC/RFID 线圈天线设计培训课程套装

套装包含 4 门视频培训课程,培训将 13.56MHz 线圈天线设计原理和仿 真设计实践相结合,全面系统地讲解了 13.56MHz 线圈天线的工作原理、 设计方法、设计考量以及使用 HFSS 和 CST 仿真分析线圈天线的具体 操作,同时还介绍了 13.56MHz 线圈天线匹配电路的设计和调试。通过 该套课程的学习,可以帮助您快速学习掌握 13.56MHz 线圈天线及其匹 配电路的原理、设计和调试…



详情浏览: http://www.edatop.com/peixun/antenna/116.html

我们的课程优势:

- ※ 成立于 2004 年, 10 多年丰富的行业经验,
- ※ 一直致力并专注于微波射频和天线设计工程师的培养,更了解该行业对人才的要求
- ※ 经验丰富的一线资深工程师讲授,结合实际工程案例,直观、实用、易学

联系我们:

- ※ 易迪拓培训官网: http://www.edatop.com
- ※ 微波 EDA 网: http://www.mweda.com
- ※ 官方淘宝店: http://shop36920890.taobao.com

专注于微波、射频、大线设计人才的培养 **房迪拓培训** 官方网址: http://www.edatop.com

淘宝网店:http://shop36920890.taobao.cor